



Setti D. Warren
Mayor

CITY OF NEWTON, MASSACHUSETTS

Department of Planning and Development

Telephone
(617)-796-1120
Telefax
(617) 796-1086
TDD/TTY
(617) 796-1089

DRAFT
CONSERVATION COMMISSION
MEETING MINUTES
September 23rd, 2010
Beginning at 7:30 p.m.
City Hall, Room 209

Meeting called to order at 7:40 p.m.

MEMBERS PRESENT: I. Wallach, (Chair), D. Green, N. Richardson, J. Sender (Alternate); R. Matthews arrived late (8:00 p.m.).

MEMBERS ABSENT: S. Lunin, J. Hepburn, D. Dickson

MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC: See attached sign-in sheet

Chair I. Wallach appointed J. Sender to acting voting member in the absence of a quorum to open the meeting.

*Proposed corrections in italicized print.

46 Allen Ave. –Beethoven Brook-NOI –Continued from July, 2010;

Report: N. Richardson asked me to indicate other wetland areas that may be non-jurisdictional. There's a stream behind Los Angeles; stream between Parker and Walnut Hill (Oakdale Park), and perhaps another half a dozen streams with no associated upstream wetlands, AND there are a number of wetlands with no associated water body. Cabot Meadows, for example, is protected by naming and description only under the Watershed/Floodplain Ordinance, but most of the others I have located probably do not qualify for any protection.

Meeting: John Daghljan, Engineering Department was present for the discussion. He stated that DPW believes the waterway between Beethoven and Allen Avenue(s) is a ditch and, based on the reports of wetland consultant Jillian Davies, BSC Group, it is non-jurisdictional under either the MA Wetlands Protection Act or the Watershed/Floodplain Ordinance. Sr. Planner noted not all streams are jurisdictional (protected) by the WPA – they must have an upstream wetland or source of water other than run-off from rain. Historically, the stream may have been fed by Cold Stream Swamp, the area has been filled, likely prior to the WPA. N. Richardson stated the commission needs to be consistent in interpreting and applying the WPA. He noted how borderline the wetland delineation was, as to whether there was a bordering vegetated wetland or not, and is worried that DPW activity may have altered the wetland area. I. Wallach requested that the Utilities Division provide the record of what has been done in the stream and John Daghljan agreed to provide it. **Motion by D. Green that the commission has no jurisdiction in this case, because there is no upstream wetland. Second by J. Sender. Vote: Roger Matthews, Jane Sender and Dan Green voted "aye"; Norm Richardson and Ira Wallach voted "nay." Motion passed.**

As Roger Matthews has arrived, and a quorum of regular members is present, Jane Sender will not be voting further during the meeting.



- **170 & 180 Needham St. NOI-DPW** reconstruction of northerly retaining wall and spot repair of southerly wing wall, realign and refit concrete drain pipe, and removal of sediments in riverfront and flood zone of So. Meadow Brook.

Report: The parking lot adjacent to the stream has developed a large pothole that Utilities considers a ‘sinkhole’ behind the wall for the brook. A drain pipe is broken and/or dislodged and needs to be reseated, a lot of sediments have piled up forming a ‘sandbar’ and both sides of the stream need work on the retaining walls. My main concern is preventing pollution of downstream water while work is being conducted. Frank Nichols of Engineering and I are still discussing the project and recommended conditions.

Meeting: Daghljan, Engineering Department was present for the discussion and provided aerial photos and site plans. The trash grate dates from the 1920s and is failing and repair to the southerly wall is needed. The Northerly wall also needs repair. The headwall and catch basin at 170 Needham Street need repair. The plan is to remove accumulated silt from the stream bed and dead limbs from the grate and rebuild the walls and repair the sinkhole in the parking lot. Sr. Planner recommends sediments be tested to determine proper disposal (Engineering should check with Maria Rose regarding a protocol and list of contaminants); work should not be started unless weather forecast predicts 5 days with no rain; trees removed should be replaced with woody vegetation to stabilize banks and provide shade to the water. Curt Smith of 170 Needham St. LLC spoke requesting realignment of bank to provide more parking space for his property. J. Daghljan said the wall needs to stay where it is to maintain hydrologic capacity of the river. Mr. Smith said part of the wall is on his property; he signed the NOI as a co-petitioner. **Motion by D. Green to issue Order of Conditions with special conditions of 1) Test sediments for contaminants, 2) work not to begin until 5 days of no rain forecast, and 3) replace trees to be removed with trees and shrubs to be worked out with the Sr. Env. Planner. Vote: All in favor. Motion passed.**

Nahanton Park at Charles R. NOI – Parks & Rec. request for permit for existing seasonal dock;

Report: The resource areas involved include: land under water body, bank, flood zone, and riverfront. Parks and Rec. reports the dock has been present with a permit from DCR since ca. 1981 (prior to the Riverfront Act). However, since anchoring structures, steps and the ramp are located on bank and in flood zone, a Notice of Intent should have been filed, but Parks and Rec. was unaware. 310.54(3) Presumption states that such areas are significant to the interests to be protected. 310.54(4) provides general performance standards. Since less than 50 ft of bank is altered by the dock itself (310.54(4)(a)5 “the work shall not be deemed to impair its capacity to provide important wildlife habitat functions.” And, storm water regulations apply. The general absence of vegetation increases the rate of run-off from the site, producing erosion and increasing pollution. There is no question that removal of trees from the bank removes the root structure holding the soil. Placement of rigid structures – the dock and steps change water flow patterns and velocity, and foot traffic and vehicles compact and dry the soil and kill the grass. Thus, the work to install the dock and the on-going presence of the dock contributes to increased rate of run-off and bank erosion and pollution. All suggestions discussed with Parks and Rec for mitigation have been rejected as preventing access for machinery needed to install and remove the dock and access for emergency vehicles.

Meeting: Carol Schein and Judy Dore, Parks & Recreation and Mike Kessman of Engineering, were present. Sr. Planner has discussed alternatives to try to reduce erosion in the area, but all suggestions have been rejected. Parks & Recreation personnel say they cannot place rocks to prevent off-road parking because the crane cannot get to the dock to remove it in the winter. John Daghljan recommended sloped granite curbing as an alternative to prevent access by most vehicles, but which would allow the crane to drive over them. Planner noted vegetation is being trimmed in area without consulting. **Motion by N. Richardson to issue an Order of Conditions for a seasonal dock with special conditions: 1) No trimming of vegetation associated without consulting with Env. Planner, 2) requirement to install**

Conservation Commission

1000 Commonwealth Avenue, Newton, Massachusetts 02459

Email: aphelps@newtonma.gov

www.ci.newton.ma.us



—sloped granite curbing at circle to prevent off-pavement parking, 3) plant additional shrubs or trees.

Vote: All in favor. Motion passed.

Nahanton Park at Charles R. NOI – Parks & Rec. for repair to path and restore bank;

Report: The point where the path washed out is an area where all vegetation except grass was removed from the bank at some time in the past, and the bank has been eroding inward. Water draining downslope and along an adjoining stone dust path washed across the path and cut the bank. The proposed repair involves recovering sediment from the edge of the river that washed in and bringing in a small amount of fill (total ~6 cy) to restore the washed out bank, then to re-vegetate the bank with trees and shrubs and repair the stone dust path. I do not yet have recommended conditions for this.

Meeting: Carol Schein and Judy Dore, Parks & Recreation and Mike Kessman of Engineering, were present. They propose to repair and realign Florrie's path, too. The Sr. Planner noted that the erosion of the bank is due to removal of vegetation. She recommends filling the eroded area and reestablishing vegetation as the preferred alternative to moving the path, which latter would require cutting vegetation up-gradient --- → from the current path. Engineering estimates about 260 sf of fill. **Motion by D. Green to issue Order of Conditions to restore eroded area of approximately 260 sf and re-vegetate and restore path in previous location and re-grade access path to reduce erosion. Vote: All in favor. Motion passed.**

191 Dedham St. Countryside School – NOI – Continued from July, 2010; Removal of invasive plants in riverfront, bordering vegetated wetland and flood zone;

Report: DEP comment letter advised need for delineation of flood zone and bordering vegetated wetland. Awaiting additional information (DEP data sheets and plan showing wetland boundaries?).

Meeting: Eric Olsen, Brandeis U., and Dirk Detlefsen of Countryside School were present. DEP provided comments advising need for a wetland delineation. Sr. Planner thinks if no boardwalk, and no filling, there is no need to delineate the flood zone. Eric delineated wetlands with guidance from N. Richardson. Wetland data sheets submitted do not show a dominance of hydric plants, although hydric soils are "circled." Soils have been altered. N. Richardson is concerned that hydric plants not in lower areas, so may not be resource area. Norm will go out with Eric to confirm vegetation and realign the wetland boundary if necessary. **Move by N. Richardson that the commission issue an Order of Conditions with standard conditions. Norm and Eric to verify plants and field adjust wetland line if necessary with measurements from the school building to be placed on the plan of record and flagged in the field. Approval is to remove individuals of two species of non-native plants, *Euonymus fortunei* (climbing euonymus, or wintercreeper), and garlic mustard, *Alliaria petiolata*, and plant native plants in riverfront area, in sections A, B, and C (buffer zone areas shown on plan). Vote: All in favor. Motion passed.**

****34 Farwell St. NOI** – continued from July while applicant addresses EO.

Report: Nothing new from last meet (this item was inadvertently omitted from the first agenda).

Meeting: Terry Morris, Esq., attorney, was present for applicant. The rear house, a modular, has been moved off the lot with the supervision of Inspectional Services. Owner will apply for rezoning to a multi-family three (M-R 3), then plans to apply for a special permit for 6 dwellings. He is asking the commission for approval of the site plan. Mr. Morris says owner will remove the two sets of concrete steps from DCR land, although he did not place them here, will re-vegetate top of slope. Bank is stabilized, so they do not want to do any work there. Perc test indicates rate is greater than anticipated so proposed infiltration



structures more than adequate. Areas under structures removed will be re-vegetated. Sr. Planner reminded – that the Enforcement Order required removal of rear house and to submit materials for COC for old Order – to indicate that old violation has been satisfied. Mr. Morris said parking for 4 by right units or 6 special permit units needs 8 parking spaces. Utilities were capped at the (now removed) rear house, because no time to remove pipes and cap at front house. Mr. Morris said this will be done in 10 days. Commission asks Engineering Department to advise us if better to restore grade of slope or leave as is. Mr. Morris and Sr. Planner to meet and discuss, and have a proposal prior to the next meeting.

250 Albemarle Ave. Gath Pool-Violation- Chlorine spill into CheeseCake Brook. Since no remediation was performed, what mitigation is offered;

Report: Public Buildings owns the building and land, but Parks and Rec. manages the summer swim program and maintains the chlorination system. Since the pump fell over for lack of a long-enough screw to anchor it to a wooden platform, I recommend a new anchoring system be devised and implemented to reduce chances of future event, with report on this to CC. Mention of additional tree-planting along Cheesecake Brook was also suggested by a commissioner. Since no one came to last meeting, the commission asked that someone come to this one.

Meeting: Tom Cahill and Carol Schein, Parks & Recreation Department, were present to discuss. Mr. Cahill said the table has been replaced and new brackets will be installed. Tom will send the commission a photo showing the new set-up. These corrective measures will be done before the first use of the pool next spring. N. Richardson suggested additional plantings along the brook, but Parks & Recreation thinks there is to be a future proposal for a bike path along this area, and the trees might be in the way. Sr. Planner noted that there was no notification of the violation until 11 a.m., when the spill happened during the night and was discovered around 7 or 8 a.m. Tom mentioned that he notified his boss, the Health Department and Conservation after the pool was cleaned up and the leak addressed. He wanted the pool set to open because the day was to be hot – in the 90s. The commission will be satisfied with efforts to prevent a similar future leak.

134 Vine St. RDA – Landscaping in buffer zone to bordering vegetated wetland. Continued from April 29th;

Report: Owner could not get materials ready for review before the deadline. He is requesting a continuance until the October 21, 2010 meeting.

Meeting: The commission will continue the hearing until October 21, 2010.

32 Williams St. – Revocation of OOC;

Report: The owner of 32 Williams received his OOC and applied for a building permit, and Inspectional Services Department (ISD) asked me if I would sign off on it. When I checked, I found that no review had ever been conducted by Planning Department. The Commissioner of ISD then reviewed the application, and denied a building permit because he said it is a new lot. The Commissioner determined the new lot was created when it was recorded by Mr. Robart at the Registry in 2008 indicating a change in the size of the lot from 6500 sf to 9800 sf. Mr. Robart appealed this determination to the ZBA, which denied his appeal. Under the MA DEP regulations, for a new lot created after August 1996, 10% of the riverfront can be developed, while for a lot created prior to 1996, 10% or 5000 sf, whichever is greater, can be developed. 10% of a 10,000 sf lot is only 1000 sf and would likely preclude a two-family house on the lot. The hearing was held open for over a year, at the request of the applicant. The applicant was advised to get a Planning Department review, and his consultant stated he was in the process of doing so. Please see packet for further discussion.



—**Meeting:** Ruti Robart and Mark Lanza, Esq., attended, and stated there is no basis to revoke because they have not violated any of the conditions. One issue is that the plan never was submitted for review by Developmental Review Team. Mr. Robart has appealed the decision (by the Commissioner, ISD, and its confirmation by ZBA) that it is a new lot. The commission feels that it was misled as to whether this is a new lot and that there would not be enough room (10% of the lot) for a two-family house. Information required to determine whether it was a new lot or an old lot was not volunteered, and during the hearings, Mr. Robart's attorney, Mr. Cramer, stated that review by Planning Department was underway. ZBA's decision on new lot has been appealed by --
---→Mr. Robart. Issue is whether applicant has attempted to mislead commission about whether he had submitted material to Planning to review, and withheld material about whether this a new or old lot. If it is a new lot, then the applicant can develop 10%; on an old lot, owner can choose greater of 10% or 5000 sf. Mr. Lanza said this is not a new lot. I. Wallach and R. Matthews suggested waiting for a Land Court decision – probably in a year or so – before the commission takes up this issue. Mr. Lanza said additional land was added by accretion and some by City [in conjunction with taking of an easement for a sewer line]. The Commission agreed to continue the hearing until the Land Court rules. I. Wallach said that condition 1 of standard conditions [on the Order of Conditions] provides the authority for the commission to revoke [an Order].

15 Harwich Rd. RDA – After-the-fact for fence in the 100 ft buffer to bordering vegetated wetland;

Report: This filing is part of the requirements of an EO recorded against the property for alteration of a buffer zone immediately up-slope of a bordering vegetated wetland located in Bald Pate Meadow Conservation Area near the end of Harwich Rd. The former owner cut down trees, cut vegetation on the slope, and began installation of a large underground drainage system, all with no erosion and sediment control in place. At one time, an underground irrigation system was installed partly on conservation land, but has now been removed. The fence is chain-link across the back of the lot along the property boundary, which is confirmed by a stamped site plan and visible, permanent bounds

Meeting: Rachel Spellman, owner, and Ben Ewing, Stamski and McNary, Inc., were present for the project. Ben Ewing stated that all items in the EO have been addressed. **Motion by R. Matthews to issue negative Determination. Vote: All in favor. Motion passed.**

164 Quinobequin Rd. RDA—Change to front steps in the 200 ft riverfront area;

Report: This is a really minor project in riverfront area to replace stone steps with wooden decking over a larger footprint. It should allow slightly more infiltration and does not alter the ability of the riverfront area to protect the interests. Recommend Negative determination #2 (work is in resource area but will not alter). No conditions.

Meeting: Jeff Tumpowsky, owner was present and described the project. The work is minimal. **Motion by D. Green for negative Determination #2. Vote: All in favor. Motion passed.**

348 Fuller St.— Brae Burn Country Club Amended NOI— Change to add parking in area where small building removed.

Report: Applicant was issued OOC in 2005 for a substantial amount of work, perhaps 2/3 of which has been completed. Since the building on Fuller Street was removed (by order of ISD, for safety reasons), the club would like to develop a couple more parking spaces where the building was. The drainage summary (which counts removal of the unsafe building, and other impervious surfaces outside the buffer zone) indicates a net decrease in surface runoff with the proposed plan. The new catch basin (CB), that remains to be installed and a detention pond to receive runoff from the pavement via the CB and Vorthchnics unit, were approved under the original OOC. However, I have asked applicant to propose a plan to construct the drainage basin prior to the



other work, and and/or get it stabilized with vegetation before water is directed to it -- . Mr. Kosian will report on that before or at the meeting. I may make a recommendation for additional condition(s) at that time.

Meeting: Steve Cummings, Manager of Brae Burn, and Richard Kosian, Engineer described the changes. The dumpster will be re-located to the edge of the buffer zone and there will be an overall decrease of impervious area draining to the catch basin. The Sr. Planner has asked Brae Burn to construct the detention pond now. Engineer proposes to construct detention pond and install stand pipe, so water can only go through on an overflow basis until stabilized. **Motion by R. Matthews to approve amended Order with additional condition that detention pond be stabilized prior to use. Vote: All in favor. Motion passed.**

45 Beethoven Ave. NOI – For demolition of house and re-build new single-family house in the 100 ft buffer zone.

Report: All proposed work is in a buffer zone (except for a narrow strip in the ~ middle of the lot), either to Beethoven Brook (?) in the rear or to the Cold Springs wetland across Beethoven Av. Wetland data sheets are provided. Impervious area will increase by 1331 sf, and engineering generally requires infiltration for increases in roof area of 400 ft or so—there are two infiltrating dry wells on the plan. There is likely no more impact to adjacent wetland (s) than with prior house. Erosion control proposed is hay bale and silt fence –Recommend condition of Filter sox (not straw wattles), and get Planner approval to stockpile excavated material on site.

Meeting: Verne Porter, surveyor and Paul McManus of EcoTec were present to describe the project. Mark Schwartz, the new owner, was also present. The proposed new house is larger and has additional impervious area. Two drywells are proposed to infiltrate some of roof runoff. Test pits were dug and show adequate capacity for infiltration. **Motion by D. Green to issue OOC with standard conditions and require filter sox-type erosion and sediment control rather than hay bales. Additionally, need permission of Sr. Planner to stockpile excavated materials in the buffer zone. Vote: All in favor. Motion passed.**

40 Kingswood Rd. RDA – For construction of kitchen addition 84 sf, and deck of 172 sf connecting addition to garage in existing lawn in the 200 ft riverfront to the Charles R.

Report: Work proposed is in the outer 200 ft of the riverfront and out of floodzone. The proposed new kitchen addition (impervious area equals 84 sf) and deck (172 sf) are to be built between the garage and the house in an area already partially covered by brick and bare ground. Large stone retaining wall & steps adjacent to the house is not shown on the plan – should get new plan showing them (no permit in file). I recommend the commission issue a negative determination # 2 (work is within a resource area but will not alter it). Any unused excavated material should be disposed of off the lot (since most of the lot behind the house is in flood zone). I also recommend CC advise owner not to store floating dock on the bank (do not know if permitted) or place “harvested” water chestnuts on bank where they break down and decompose and nutrients can run right back into the river.

Meeting: Verne Porter, Surveyor, and Wayne DiCarlo, owner described the project. The commission asked for a new plan showing the stone steps in the setback and the ‘seepage pit’ septic system. Chairman Wallach asked where he stored his dock. Mr. DiCarlo replied that he stored it on the bank. Mr. Wallach said it cannot be stored on bank, and owner said he will remove it. **Motion by N. Richardson to issue negative Determination #2, subject to owner filing a new plan with features shown as requested. Vote: All in favor. Motion passed.** Owner was also advised not to store “harvested” water chestnuts on the bank.

1165 Chestnut Street (American Tower Corp.) RDA – Work is minor but not listed as exempt; 310 CMR 10.58 applies. Replacement of diesel generator with larger one, add associated fuel storage tank and spill containment system in 200 ft riverfront area.



—**Report:** The proposed work is in the riverfront area, but in an area that is already impervious surface. It is described as having a “spill containment system”, but that means it is in a double-lined container. If there is a spill, it will go to a retention pond that receives drainage from the parking lot – which needs cutting, btw – don’t know if there was an O&M plan with the original OOC, but vegetation should be cut annually and removed from the basin for it to work properly. Also, silt fence still in place from previous work and needs to be removed! There is no increase in impervious surface and no other reason not to grant a negative determination #2 (the work is in a resource area but will not alter the area).

Meeting: Joe Freeman, TetraTech and Bill Esrich, American Towers, were present to describe the project. The current generator is from 1985. It is louder and less efficient than the new one. New one has double-walled tank around it. Amended proposal to include a containment trench sized to contain more than a full tank of fuel. Filter fabric or a silt sac will be installed in the catch basin for erosion control during construction.

Motion by D. Green to issue a negative Determination #2 subject to submission of a new plan. Vote: All in favor. Motion passed.

177 Concord St. RDA – Removal of ~in-ground pool in the 200 ft riverfront to the Charles, fill hole & re-plant as lawn.

Report: Work is minor but not listed as exempt; 310 CMR 10.58 applies. Pool to be removed is “in ground” but no concrete, rather has had a liner. Pool already drained and liner and metal supports have been removed. It is in a DCR easement, but apparently pre-dates the easement. Applicant proposes to fill the hole with clean fill and seed for lawn area. This should improve the riverfront’s ability to prevent pollution and protect the groundwater supply (by restoring some infiltration). Recommend a negative determination #2 (resource area but will not alter the area). Recommended condition: No stockpiling of dirt on site.

Meeting: Martin Horowitz, owner, described the project is to fill in the hole where the pool was. He said there will be no stockpiling of dirt on the site. **Motion by R. Matthews to issue a negative Determination #2.**

Vote: All in favor. Motion passed.

Requests for Administrative Change:

62 Brierfield OOC – Requesting administrative change in flood zone;

Report: Please see letter in file – engineering and I do not believe the “hollow steps” proposed will maintain their ability to act as storage space for flood water over time; more importantly, project engineer proposed to drain overflow from trench drains to adjacent private property – we asked for plan showing drains tying in to street drain to So. Meadow Brook (toward Heatherland) – still not provided. I signed off on building permit, under original OOC.

Meeting: Ksenia Oleinik-Ovod, owner, and Paul Silva, contractor, present to describe proposed change. No entryway extending beyond the house on original plan, so now proposing one. Hollow concrete steps on plan approved by engineering for compensatory storage is OK for this small area of compensatory flood storage as shown on plans submitted on plans submitted at meeting, “Project Plans 259, and “Water Service Configuration, both dated 9-23-2010. Wooden decking and stairs now proposed to replace stone in front entryway, except for the bottom step, which will remain concrete. **Motion by R. Matthews to accept the proposed administrative change for the wooden entryway subject to receiving a new plan showing the connection to the proper drain line [and leaving the north-draining trunk off the plans, entirely]. Vote: All in favor. Motion passed.**

75 Albemarle Rd. OOC – Requesting administrative change in riverfront;

Conservation Commission

1000 Commonwealth Avenue, Newton, Massachusetts 02459

Email: aphelps@newtonma.gov

www.ci.newton.ma.us



- **Report:** I do not see any issues with this – changes result in very small net reduction in impervious area.
Meeting: Joe Porter, surveyor, and Hua Liao, owner, were present to describe the project. The changes proposed are a new reduction in impervious area. D. Green moved to accept the administrative change, including the new shed, with new stormwater calculations, subject to getting a new plan. Vote: All in favor. Motion passed.

Lot 2 Kessler Way OOC –Request administrative change in grading;

Report: Joe Porter calls the extensive grading changes and proposed retaining walls “minor modifications, but this lot is out of all jurisdictional areas, and drains to rear and away from Kessler Way. New plans dropped off at 3:30 on 9-16-10 and have not had time to review and they are not in packet. Letter NOT provided that grading changes proposed do not alter the drainage patterns. Suggest continue.

Meeting: Jeffrey Drucker, owner, and Joe Porter, surveyor, present to describe the project. The lot drains to the developer’s initial detention area. The new plan moves the drainage system. The owner is abandoning his special permit as he is not changing the grade above the limits of the new law. The changes flatten a steep slope into terraces. New vegetation will be native plants. **Motion by R. Matthews to grant administrative change and allow grade changes subject to receipt of letter from engineer stating no impact on drainage pattern. Vote: All in favor. Motion passed.**

Violations(updates):

116 Louise Rd.—owner has agreed to cease mowing conservation land.

34 Farwell St. Violation – EO – rear residence to be removed prior to Sep. meeting;

15 Harwich Rd. –most of requirements of EO met, including filing for fence (see above);

Certificates of Compliance

Meeting: The Commission chose not to take up the Certificates until next month’s meeting.

2345 Commonwealth Av. Marriott Hotel—OOC 239-603; as-built plan and letter certifying work;

64 Adeline Rd. –OOC 239-562; as-built plan and letter certifying work;

125 Wells Av. –OOC 595; as-built plan and letter certifying work – should be able to conduct site visit prior to meeting.

Announcements & General Business:

Election of Officers – Chair, v-Chair, Secretary, rep to Nahanton Woods re CA, rep to Farm Commission

Meeting: The Commission will take this up at the next meeting.

Permit Extension Act & Conservation

Meeting: This Act automatically extends Determinations and Orders for two years if the Order was issued or in force during certain dates.

July 22, 2010 Meeting Minutes for approval

Meeting: Motion to approve. **Vote: All approved. Motion passed.**

Report on Management Plan Meeting Minutes

Meeting: Minutes not in packet for approval.

FYI: ConCom members are special municipal employees.

Motion to adjourn. Vote: All in favor. Motion passed. Meeting adjourned 11:35 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Anne Phelps, Sr. Environmental Planner